

# Homotopy algebras versus algebras up to homotopy

Carles Casacuberta

Universitat de Barcelona

**Higher Structures Lisbon 2017**

Joint work with Oriol Raventós and Andrew Tonks

## What does the title refer to?

- ▶ **Homotopy algebras** are objects of  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$
- ▶ **Algebras up to homotopy** are objects of  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$

## What does the title refer to?

- ▶ **Homotopy algebras** are objects of  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$
- ▶ **Algebras up to homotopy** are objects of  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$

$\mathcal{M}$  denotes a Quillen model category

## What does the title refer to?

- ▶ **Homotopy algebras** are objects of  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$
- ▶ **Algebras up to homotopy** are objects of  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$

$\mathcal{M}$  denotes a Quillen model category

$T$  is a **monad** on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that the category  $\mathcal{M}^T$  of  $T$ -algebras admits a transferred model structure through the Eilenberg–Moore adjunction  $\mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T$

## What does the title refer to?

- ▶ **Homotopy algebras** are objects of  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$
- ▶ **Algebras up to homotopy** are objects of  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$

$\mathcal{M}$  denotes a Quillen model category

$T$  is a **monad** on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that the category  $\mathcal{M}^T$  of  $T$ -algebras admits a transferred model structure through the Eilenberg–Moore adjunction  $\mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T$

$Q$  is a cofibrant replacement functor on  $\mathcal{M}$

# Monads

A **monad** on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a triple  $(T, \eta, \mu)$  where  $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a functor and  $\eta: \text{Id} \rightarrow T$  and  $\mu: TT \rightarrow T$  are natural transformations such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TTT & \xrightarrow{\mu T} & TT \\ \downarrow T\mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ TT & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & T & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\eta T} & & \xleftarrow{T\eta} & \\ T & & TT & & T \\ & \searrow \text{id} & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow \text{id} & \\ & & T & & \end{array}$$

# Monads

A **monad** on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a triple  $(T, \eta, \mu)$  where  $T: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is a functor and  $\eta: \text{Id} \rightarrow T$  and  $\mu: TT \rightarrow T$  are natural transformations such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TTT & \xrightarrow{\mu T} & TT \\ \downarrow T\mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ TT & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\eta T} & TT & \xleftarrow{T\eta} & T \\ & \searrow \text{id} & \downarrow \mu & \swarrow \text{id} & \\ & & T & & \end{array}$$

For every pair of adjoint functors

$$F: \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}: G$$

with unit  $\eta$  and counit  $\varepsilon$ , the triple  $(GF, \eta, G\varepsilon F)$  is a monad.

# Algebras over monads

If  $(T, \eta, \mu)$  is a monad on a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , then a **T-algebra** is a pair  $(X, a)$  with  $a: TX \rightarrow X$  such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TTX & \xrightarrow{Ta} & TX \\ \mu_X \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ TX & \xrightarrow{a} & X \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & TX \\ & \searrow \text{id}_X & \swarrow a \\ & X & \end{array}$$



# Algebras over monads

If  $(T, \eta, \mu)$  is a monad on a category  $\mathcal{C}$ , then a  **$T$ -algebra** is a pair  $(X, a)$  with  $a: TX \rightarrow X$  such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TTX & \xrightarrow{Ta} & TX \\ \mu_X \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ TX & \xrightarrow{a} & X \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\eta_X} & TX \\ & \searrow \text{id}_X & \swarrow a \\ & X & \end{array}$$

A morphism of  $T$ -algebras  $(X, a) \rightarrow (Y, b)$  is an arrow  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\varphi \circ a = b \circ T\varphi$ .

## Eilenberg–Moore adjunction

Thus, the  $T$ -algebras on  $\mathcal{C}$  form a category, denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^T$  and called the **Eilenberg–Moore category** of  $T$ .

## Eilenberg–Moore adjunction

Thus, the  $T$ -algebras on  $\mathcal{C}$  form a category, denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^T$  and called the **Eilenberg–Moore category** of  $T$ .

There is an adjunction

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}^T : U$$

where  $FX = (TX, \mu_X)$  and  $U(X, a) = X$ .

## Eilenberg–Moore adjunction

Thus, the  $T$ -algebras on  $\mathcal{C}$  form a category, denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^T$  and called the **Eilenberg–Moore category** of  $T$ .

There is an adjunction

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{C}^T : U$$

where  $FX = (TX, \mu_X)$  and  $U(X, a) = X$ .

This adjunction is terminal among all adjunctions whose associated monad is  $T$ .

## Monads on model categories

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a **model category** and let  $T$  be a monad on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider the Eilenberg–Moore adjunction

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T : U.$$

A model structure on  $\mathcal{M}^T$  is called **transferred** if  $U$  creates weak equivalences and fibrations.

# Monads on model categories

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a **model category** and let  $T$  be a monad on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider the Eilenberg–Moore adjunction

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T : U.$$

A model structure on  $\mathcal{M}^T$  is called **transferred** if  $U$  creates weak equivalences and fibrations.

- ▶ Sufficient conditions for the existence of transferred model structures are known when  $\mathcal{M}$  is cofibrantly generated: [Crans, 1995]; [Schwede–Shiplay, 2000]; [Berger–Moerdijk, 2003]; [Johnson–Noel, 2014]; [Batanin–Berger, 2017].

# Monads on model categories

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a **model category** and let  $T$  be a monad on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Consider the Eilenberg–Moore adjunction

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T : U.$$

A model structure on  $\mathcal{M}^T$  is called **transferred** if  $U$  creates weak equivalences and fibrations.

- ▶ Sufficient conditions for the existence of transferred model structures are known when  $\mathcal{M}$  is cofibrantly generated: [Crans, 1995]; [Schwede–Shiplay, 2000]; [Berger–Moerdijk, 2003]; [Johnson–Noel, 2014]; [Batanin–Berger, 2017].
- ▶ We will display an example where such a transferred model structure does *not* exist.

## Monads on model categories

If  $\mathcal{M}^T$  admits a transferred model structure, then the forgetful functor  $U$  preserves fibrations and trivial fibrations. Hence

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T : U$$

is a Quillen adjunction



## Monads on model categories

If  $\mathcal{M}^T$  admits a transferred model structure, then the forgetful functor  $U$  preserves fibrations and trivial fibrations. Hence

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T : U$$

is a Quillen adjunction and there is a derived adjunction

$$FQ : \text{Ho}(\mathcal{M}) \rightleftarrows \text{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T) : U$$

where  $Q$  denotes a cofibrant replacement functor on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Monads on model categories

If  $\mathcal{M}^T$  admits a transferred model structure, then the forgetful functor  $U$  preserves fibrations and trivial fibrations. Hence

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T : U$$

is a Quillen adjunction and there is a derived adjunction

$$FQ : \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{M}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T) : U$$

where  $Q$  denotes a cofibrant replacement functor on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

This is **not** equivalent in general to the Eilenberg–Moore adjunction

$$\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{M}) \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$$

of the derived monad  $TQ$ .

## Examples

Let  $\text{Spec}$  be a monoidal model category of spectra. Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. Let  $\mathbf{TX} = E \wedge X$ . Then  $T$ -algebras are  $E$ -module spectra.

## Examples

Let  $\text{Spec}$  be a monoidal model category of spectra. Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. Let  $\mathbf{TX} = E \wedge X$ . Then  $T$ -algebras are  $E$ -module spectra.

If  $E = HR$  (the spectrum that represents ordinary cohomology with coefficients in a ring  $R$ ), then  $\mathbf{Ho}(\text{Spec}^T) \simeq \mathcal{D}(R)$ .

## Examples

Let  $\mathbf{Spec}$  be a monoidal model category of spectra. Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. Let  $\mathbf{TX} = E \wedge X$ . Then  $T$ -algebras are  $E$ -module spectra.

If  $E = HR$  (the spectrum that represents ordinary cohomology with coefficients in a ring  $R$ ), then  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Spec}^T) \simeq \mathcal{D}(R)$ .

Hence  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Spec})^{TQ}$  is not equivalent to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Spec}^T)$  if  $E = HR$  and  $R$  has global dimension bigger than 1, since

$$\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Spec}^T)(HA, \Sigma^k HB) \cong \mathcal{D}(R)(HA, \Sigma^k HB) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^k(A, B)$$

for all  $R$ -modules  $A$  and  $B$  and  $k \geq 0$ , while

$$\mathbf{Ho}(\mathbf{Spec})^{TQ}(HA, \Sigma^k HB) = 0 \quad \text{if } k \notin \{0, 1\}.$$

## Examples

Let  $\text{Spec}$  be a monoidal model category of spectra. Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. Let  $\mathbf{TX} = E \wedge X$ . Then  $T$ -algebras are  $E$ -module spectra.

If  $E = HR$  (the spectrum that represents ordinary cohomology with coefficients in a ring  $R$ ), then  $\mathbf{Ho}(\text{Spec}^T) \simeq \mathcal{D}(R)$ .

Hence  $\mathbf{Ho}(\text{Spec})^{TQ}$  is not equivalent to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\text{Spec}^T)$  if  $E = HR$  and  $R$  has global dimension bigger than 1, since

$$\mathbf{Ho}(\text{Spec}^T)(HA, \Sigma^k HB) \cong \mathcal{D}(R)(HA, \Sigma^k HB) \cong \text{Ext}_R^k(A, B)$$

for all  $R$ -modules  $A$  and  $B$  and  $k \geq 0$ , while

$$\mathbf{Ho}(\text{Spec})^{TQ}(HA, \Sigma^k HB) = 0 \quad \text{if } k \notin \{0, 1\}.$$

Note that for  $R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  the two categories *are* equivalent.

## Examples

For every **operad**  $P$  on simplicial sets there is a monad  $T$  such that the  $P$ -algebras coincide with the  $T$ -algebras.

## Examples

For every **operad**  $P$  on simplicial sets there is a monad  $T$  such that the  $P$ -algebras coincide with the  $T$ -algebras.

- ▶ For the **associative** operad, the objects of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}^T)$  are monoids, while those of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})^T$  are homotopy associative  $H$ -spaces.



## Examples

For every **operad**  $P$  on simplicial sets there is a monad  $T$  such that the  $P$ -algebras coincide with the  $T$ -algebras.

- ▶ For the **associative** operad, the objects of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}^T)$  are monoids, while those of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})^T$  are homotopy associative  $H$ -spaces.
- ▶ For the **commutative** operad, the objects of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}^T)$  are commutative monoids, while those of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})^T$  are GEMs (products of Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces).

## Examples

For every **operad**  $P$  on simplicial sets there is a monad  $T$  such that the  $P$ -algebras coincide with the  $T$ -algebras.

- ▶ For the **associative** operad, the objects of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}^T)$  are monoids, while those of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})^T$  are homotopy associative  $H$ -spaces.
- ▶ For the **commutative** operad, the objects of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}^T)$  are commutative monoids, while those of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})^T$  are GEMs (products of Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces). In this case, the two categories *are* equivalent.

## Examples

For every **operad**  $P$  on simplicial sets there is a monad  $T$  such that the  $P$ -algebras coincide with the  $T$ -algebras.

- ▶ For the **associative** operad, the objects of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}^T)$  are monoids, while those of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})^T$  are homotopy associative  $H$ -spaces.
- ▶ For the **commutative** operad, the objects of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet}^T)$  are commutative monoids, while those of  $\text{Ho}(\text{sSet})^T$  are GEMs (products of Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces). In this case, the two categories *are* equivalent. In fact  $\mathbf{SP}^\infty$  is an unstable analogue of the monad  $TX = H\mathbb{Z} \wedge X$  on spectra.

## Examples

For every **operad**  $P$  on simplicial sets there is a monad  $T$  such that the  $P$ -algebras coincide with the  $T$ -algebras.

- ▶ For the **associative** operad, the objects of  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{sSet}^T)$  are monoids, while those of  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{sSet})^T$  are homotopy associative  $H$ -spaces.
- ▶ For the **commutative** operad, the objects of  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{sSet}^T)$  are commutative monoids, while those of  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{sSet})^T$  are GEMs (products of Eilenberg–Mac Lane spaces). In this case, the two categories *are* equivalent. In fact  $\mathbf{SP}^\infty$  is an unstable analogue of the monad  $TX = H\mathbb{Z} \wedge X$  on spectra.
- ▶ For an  **$E_\infty$ -operad**, the objects of  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{sSet}^T)$  are  $E_\infty$ -spaces, while those of  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{sSet})^T$  are  $H_\infty$ -spaces.

# Homotopical localizations

Each morphism  $f$  in a left proper combinatorial model category  $\mathcal{M}$  yields an  **$f$ -localization** functor  $L_f$ .

# Homotopical localizations

Each morphism  $f$  in a left proper combinatorial model category  $\mathcal{M}$  yields an  **$f$ -localization** functor  $L_f$ . It is obtained as a factorization

$$X \longrightarrow L_f X \longrightarrow *$$

of  $X \rightarrow *$  into a trivial cofibration followed by a fibration in a left Bousfield localization  $\mathcal{M}_f$  of the model category  $\mathcal{M}$ .

# Homotopical localizations

Each morphism  $f$  in a left proper combinatorial model category  $\mathcal{M}$  yields an  **$f$ -localization** functor  $L_f$ . It is obtained as a factorization

$$X \longrightarrow L_f X \longrightarrow *$$

of  $X \rightarrow *$  into a trivial cofibration followed by a fibration in a left Bousfield localization  $\mathcal{M}_f$  of the model category  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Examples:

- ▶ Homological localizations
- ▶ Localizations at primes
- ▶  $v_n$ -localizations
- ▶ Postnikov sections

# Homotopical colocalizations

Each object  $A$  in a right proper combinatorial model category  $\mathcal{M}$  yields an **A-cellularization** functor  $C_A$ .



# Homotopical colocalizations

Each object  $A$  in a right proper combinatorial model category  $\mathcal{M}$  yields an **A-cellularization** functor  $C_A$ . It is obtained as a factorization

$$\emptyset \longrightarrow C_A X \longrightarrow X$$

of  $\emptyset \rightarrow X$  into a cofibration followed by a trivial fibration in a right Bousfield localization  $\mathcal{M}_A$  of the model category  $\mathcal{M}$ .

# Homotopical colocalizations

Each object  $A$  in a right proper combinatorial model category  $\mathcal{M}$  yields an **A-cellularization** functor  $C_A$ . It is obtained as a factorization

$$\emptyset \longrightarrow C_A X \longrightarrow X$$

of  $\emptyset \rightarrow X$  into a cofibration followed by a trivial fibration in a right Bousfield localization  $\mathcal{M}_A$  of the model category  $\mathcal{M}$ .

## Examples:

- ▶ Cellular approximations
- ▶ Connective covers

## Earlier results

[C–Gutiérrez–Moerdijk–Vogt, 2010]:

*Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. Let  $X$  be an  $E$ -module. Let  $L$  be any exact  $f$ -localization in a monoidal model category of spectra. Then  $LX$  acquires an  $E$ -module structure such that the localization map  $\ell_X: X \rightarrow LX$  is an  $E$ -module map, and this  $E$ -module structure on  $LX$  is unique up to homotopy.*

## Earlier results

[C–Gutiérrez–Moerdijk–Vogt, 2010]:

*Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. Let  $X$  be an  $E$ -module. Let  $L$  be any exact  $f$ -localization in a monoidal model category of spectra. Then  $LX$  acquires an  $E$ -module structure such that the localization map  $\ell_X: X \rightarrow LX$  is an  $E$ -module map, and this  $E$ -module structure on  $LX$  is unique up to homotopy.*

- ▶ The assumption that  $L$  is **exact** means that  $L$  is a triangulated functor, i.e.,  $L\Sigma \simeq \Sigma L$ .

## Earlier results

[C–Gutiérrez–Moerdijk–Vogt, 2010]:

*Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. Let  $X$  be an  $E$ -module. Let  $L$  be any exact  $f$ -localization in a monoidal model category of spectra. Then  $LX$  acquires an  $E$ -module structure such that the localization map  $\ell_X: X \rightarrow LX$  is an  $E$ -module map, and this  $E$ -module structure on  $LX$  is unique up to homotopy.*

- ▶ The assumption that  $L$  is **exact** means that  $L$  is a triangulated functor, i.e.,  $L\Sigma \simeq \Sigma L$ .
- ▶ **Corollary:** If  $X$  splits as a wedge of Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectra then so does  $LX$ .

## Earlier results

[C–Gutiérrez–Moerdijk–Vogt, 2010]:

*Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum. Let  $X$  be an  $E$ -module. Let  $L$  be any exact  $f$ -localization in a monoidal model category of spectra. Then  $LX$  acquires an  $E$ -module structure such that the localization map  $\ell_X: X \rightarrow LX$  is an  $E$ -module map, and this  $E$ -module structure on  $LX$  is unique up to homotopy.*

- ▶ The assumption that  $L$  is **exact** means that  $L$  is a triangulated functor, i.e.,  $L\Sigma \simeq \Sigma L$ .
- ▶ **Corollary:** If  $X$  splits as a wedge of Eilenberg–Mac Lane spectra then so does  $LX$ .
- ▶ **Question:** Does  $L$  induce a localization on  $E$ -modules?

## Main results

[C–Raventós–Tonks, 2017]:

**Theorem 1** *For a monad  $T$  on a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ , suppose that  $\mathcal{M}^T$  has a transferred model structure and let  $Q$  denote a cofibrant replacement functor on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $f$  be a map in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_f$  exists. Then  $L_f$  lifts to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$  if and only if  $T$  sends  $f$ -equivalences between cofibrant objects to  $f$ -equivalences.*

## Main results

[C–Raventós–Tonks, 2017]:

**Theorem 1** *For a monad  $T$  on a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ , suppose that  $\mathcal{M}^T$  has a transferred model structure and let  $Q$  denote a cofibrant replacement functor on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $f$  be a map in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_f$  exists. Then  $L_f$  lifts to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$  if and only if  $T$  sends  $f$ -equivalences between cofibrant objects to  $f$ -equivalences.*

- ▶ The statement that  $L_f$  **lifts** to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$  means that there is a localization functor  $L$  on  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$  such that  $UL = L_f U$ .



## Main results

[C–Raventós–Tonks, 2017]:

**Theorem 1** *For a monad  $T$  on a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ , suppose that  $\mathcal{M}^T$  has a transferred model structure and let  $Q$  denote a cofibrant replacement functor on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $f$  be a map in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_f$  exists. Then  $L_f$  lifts to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$  if and only if  $T$  sends  $f$ -equivalences between cofibrant objects to  $f$ -equivalences.*

- ▶ The statement that  $L_f$  **lifts** to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$  means that there is a localization functor  $L$  on  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$  such that  $UL = L_fU$ .
- ▶ An  **$f$ -equivalence** is a map  $X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that the induced map  $L_fX \rightarrow L_fY$  is a weak equivalence.

## Main results

**Theorem 2** *For a monad  $T$  on a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ , suppose that  $\mathcal{M}^T$  has a transferred model structure and let*

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T : U$$

*be the Eilenberg–Moore factorization of  $T$ . Let  $f$  be a map in  $\mathcal{M}$  between cofibrant objects such that the left Bousfield localizations  $\mathcal{M}_f$  and  $(\mathcal{M}^T)_{Ff}$  exist. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L_f$  lifts to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$ .
- (ii) The functor  $U$  sends  $Ff$ -equivalences to  $f$ -equivalences.
- (iii)  $L_{Ff}$  is a lift of  $L_f$  to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$ .

## Main results

**Theorem 2** For a monad  $T$  on a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ , suppose that  $\mathcal{M}^T$  has a transferred model structure and let

$$F : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{M}^T : U$$

be the Eilenberg–Moore factorization of  $T$ . Let  $f$  be a map in  $\mathcal{M}$  between cofibrant objects such that the left Bousfield localizations  $\mathcal{M}_f$  and  $(\mathcal{M}^T)_{Ff}$  exist. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $L_f$  lifts to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$ .
- (ii) The functor  $U$  sends  $Ff$ -equivalences to  $f$ -equivalences.
- (iii)  $L_{Ff}$  is a lift of  $L_f$  to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$ .

**Note:** If  $L_f$  lifts to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M}^T)$  then it also lifts to  $\mathbf{Ho}(\mathcal{M})^{TQ}$ .

## Back to module spectra

**Corollary** *Let  $E$  be a cofibrant spectrum and let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a map between cofibrant spectra. Suppose that  $E$  is a ring spectrum, and let  $U$  denote the forgetful functor from  $E$ -modules to spectra. If  $L_f$  is exact then  $L_f$  lifts to  $E$ -modules.*

## Back to module spectra

**Corollary** *Let  $E$  be a cofibrant spectrum and let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  be a map between cofibrant spectra. Suppose that  $E$  is a ring spectrum, and let  $U$  denote the forgetful functor from  $E$ -modules to spectra. If  $L_f$  is exact then  $L_f$  lifts to  $E$ -modules.*

**Proof.** The forgetful functor has a Quillen *right* adjoint, namely  $\text{Map}(E, -)$ . This implies that  $U$  sends  $Ff$ -equivalences between cofibrant objects to  $Tf$ -equivalences (recall that  $T = UF$ ). Since  $Tf = E \wedge f$ , we need to prove that  $E \wedge f$  is an  $f$ -equivalence in order to use Theorem 2. This follows from a standard argument:

$$\text{Map}(E \wedge B, X) \simeq \text{Map}(E, \text{Map}(B, X)) \simeq \text{Map}(E, \text{Map}(A, X)) \simeq \text{Map}(E \wedge A, X)$$

for every  $f$ -local spectrum  $X$ , since  $L_f$  is exact. □

## A counterexample

If  $L_f$  is **not exact**, then it need not preserve  $E$ -modules.

## A counterexample

If  $L_f$  is **not exact**, then it need not preserve  $E$ -modules.

Choose  $E = K(n)$ , the  $n$ -th Morava  $K$ -theory at a prime  $p$ , and  $f: S \rightarrow 0$ , where  $S$  denotes the sphere spectrum. Thus  $L_f X = P_{-1}X$ , a Postnikov section at level  $-1$ .

## A counterexample

If  $L_f$  is **not exact**, then it need not preserve  $E$ -modules.

Choose  $E = K(n)$ , the  $n$ -th Morava  $K$ -theory at a prime  $p$ , and  $f: S \rightarrow 0$ , where  $S$  denotes the sphere spectrum. Thus  $L_f X = P_{-1}X$ , a Postnikov section at level  $-1$ .

Then  $P_{-1}K(n)$  is the homotopy fibre of the connective cover  $k(n) \rightarrow K(n)$ . Since  $H\mathbb{Z}/p \wedge K(n) = 0$  but  $H\mathbb{Z}/p \wedge k(n) \neq 0$ , we infer that  $H\mathbb{Z}/p \wedge P_{-1}K(n) \neq 0$ . Hence  $P_{-1}K(n)$  is not a homotopy retract of  $K(n) \wedge P_{-1}K(n)$  and this implies that  $P_{-1}K(n)$  is not a  $K(n)$ -module.

In conclusion,  $P_{-1}$  **does not preserve  $K(n)$ -modules**.



## Another counterexample

Let  $\mathbf{TX} = \mathbf{K}(n) \wedge \mathbf{X}$  on spectra and choose  $f: S \rightarrow 0$  as above.  
Look at the adjunctions

$$F : \mathrm{Spec}_f \rightleftarrows (\mathrm{Spec}^T)_{Ff} : U$$

and

$$\mathrm{Spec}_f \rightleftarrows (\mathrm{Spec}_f)^T.$$

## Another counterexample

Let  $\mathbf{TX} = \mathbf{K}(n) \wedge \mathbf{X}$  on spectra and choose  $f: S \rightarrow 0$  as above. Look at the adjunctions

$$F : \mathrm{Spec}_f \rightleftarrows (\mathrm{Spec}^T)_{Ff} : U$$

and

$$\mathrm{Spec}_f \rightleftarrows (\mathrm{Spec}_f)^T.$$

Assume that  $(\mathrm{Spec}_f)^T$  admits a transferred model structure. Then the model categories  $(\mathrm{Spec}_f)^T$  and  $(\mathrm{Spec}^T)_{Ff}$  have the same trivial fibrations and the same fibrant objects, from which it follows that they have the same weak equivalences. Therefore  $U$  sends  $Ff$ -equivalences to  $f$ -equivalences and Theorem 2 implies that  $L_f$  lifts to  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Spec}^T)$ . But this cannot be true, since we just saw that  $L_f$  does not preserve  $\mathbf{K}(n)$ -modules.

**Hence  $(\mathrm{Spec}_f)^T$  has no transferred model structure.**

## Further results

*If  $T$  is the reduced or unreduced monad associated with a unital operad in simplicial sets, then  $T$  preserves  $f$ -equivalences for every map  $f$ .*

## Further results

If  $T$  is the reduced or unreduced monad associated with a unital operad in simplicial sets, then  $T$  preserves  $f$ -equivalences for every map  $f$ . Hence, by Theorem 1, **every**  $f$ -localization lifts to  $T$ -algebras up to homotopy. We further proved that

$$L_f X \simeq L_{Tf} X$$

for every  $f$  if  $X$  underlies a  $T$ -algebra up to homotopy.

## Further results

If  $T$  is the reduced or unreduced monad associated with a unital operad in simplicial sets, then  $T$  preserves  $f$ -equivalences for every map  $f$ . Hence, by Theorem 1, **every**  $f$ -localization lifts to  $T$ -algebras up to homotopy. We further proved that

$$L_f X \simeq L_{Tf} X$$

for every  $f$  if  $X$  underlies a  $T$ -algebra up to homotopy. Hence:

- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{SP^\infty_f} X$  if  $X$  is a GEM.

## Further results

If  $T$  is the reduced or unreduced monad associated with a unital operad in simplicial sets, then  $T$  preserves  $f$ -equivalences for every map  $f$ . Hence, by Theorem 1, **every**  $f$ -localization lifts to  $T$ -algebras up to homotopy. We further proved that

$$L_f X \simeq L_{Tf} X$$

for every  $f$  if  $X$  underlies a  $T$ -algebra up to homotopy. Hence:

- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{SP^\infty f} X$  if  $X$  is a GEM.
- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{\Omega\Sigma f} X$  for every homotopy associative  $H$ -space  $X$ .

## Further results

If  $T$  is the reduced or unreduced monad associated with a unital operad in simplicial sets, then  $T$  preserves  $f$ -equivalences for every map  $f$ . Hence, by Theorem 1, **every**  $f$ -localization lifts to  $T$ -algebras up to homotopy. We further proved that

$$L_f X \simeq L_{Tf} X$$

for every  $f$  if  $X$  underlies a  $T$ -algebra up to homotopy. Hence:

- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{SP^\infty f} X$  if  $X$  is a GEM.
- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{\Omega \Sigma f} X$  for every homotopy associative  $H$ -space  $X$ .
- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty f} X$  for every  $H_\infty$ -space  $X$ .

## Further results

If  $T$  is the reduced or unreduced monad associated with a unital operad in simplicial sets, then  $T$  preserves  $f$ -equivalences for every map  $f$ . Hence, by Theorem 1, **every**  $f$ -localization lifts to  $T$ -algebras up to homotopy. We further proved that

$$L_f X \simeq L_{Tf} X$$

for every  $f$  if  $X$  underlies a  $T$ -algebra up to homotopy. Hence:

- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{SP^\infty f} X$  if  $X$  is a GEM.
- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{\Omega \Sigma f} X$  for every homotopy associative  $H$ -space  $X$ .
- ▶  $L_f X \simeq L_{\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty f} X$  for every  $H_\infty$ -space  $X$ .

**Our results have obvious analogues for cellularizations.**